Single evolution equation describing nonlinear dynamics of nonlocal optical medium under two-wave mixing

S. Bugaychuk[1] and E. Tobisch[2]

[1] *Institute for Physics, National Academy of Science, Kiev, Ukraine*
[2] *Institute for Analysis, Johannes Kepler University, Linz, Austria*

arXiv:1702.06853v1 [physics.optics] 21 Feb 2017

PACS 42.65.Sf – Dynamics of nonlinear optical systems; optical instabilities, optical chaos and complexity, and optical spatio-temporal dynamics
PACS 42.65.Tg – Optical solitons; nonlinear guided waves
PACS 05.45.Yv – Solitons

Abstract – In this Letter we study theoretically the interaction of optical waves in nonlinear dynamical medium, i.e. medium with relaxation. Taking into account the relaxation of the photoinduced nonlinearity we derive a single evolution equation, namely the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with coefficients depending on parameters, for the case of degenerate two-wave mixing at the reflection geometry in bulk Kerr-like medium possessing a nonlocal nonlinear response. All coefficients of the single evolution equation for our system are written out explicitly in terms of initial parameters. This is the first analytical study of the evolution of nonlinear dynamical medium under the action of two wave mixing; usually, it is studied numerically or experimentally making use of some empirical assumptions. We briefly discuss various possible scenarios of energy transport in the frame of the novel equation.

Introduction. – Nonlinear interaction of coherent laser beams is famous for their self-action processes in optical materials promising for many applications. The dynamic holography is the most famous in this respect due to its simple realizability in optical communication systems such as all-optical controllable amplifiers, switches, holographic multiplexing, adaptive data storage, optically addressed spatial light modulators, including many-channels systems and optical neural networks. The other huge area is fiber applications of dynamic gratings for different types of sensors, tunable filters and adaptive interferometers [1–6]. The following three main effects acting simultaneously are the subject of dynamic holography: (1) creation of periodic interference pattern inside a nonlinear medium with the help of two or more laser beams; (2) modulation of the refractive index (and/or the absorbtion coefficient) under action of this interference pattern, or, in other words, inducing a phase dynamic grating inside a nonlinear medium; (3) self-diffraction of recording beams on the dynamic grating creating by the same beams.

Practical use of waves' diffraction on dynamical gratings is related to several specific effects which can be implemented depending on the initial parameters of beams coupling. Among these effects are energy and phase transfer between interacting waves which may occur due to the specific features of nonlinear response in optical material.

The nonlinear problem of optical two-mixing has been considered thoroughly in the following way. The coupled-wave equations were derived from the Maxwell's wave equations, see e.g. [7–9]. There exist two essentially different mathematical approaches used for solving these coupled-wave equations.

In the *first approach*, a general mathematical convention is used that the medium response is replaced by the modulation of the refractive index Δn and/or by the modulation of the absorption $\Delta\alpha$, so-called the approach of the given gratings. In other words, the medium is regarded as a "black box", without its own intrinsic dynamics, while effects of the energy transfer and the phase coupling are explained in terms of either shift (the non-local response) or no shift (the local response) of the photoinduced gratings relative to the interference pattern. For instance, in [9] equations for two-wave mixing in the general form and their solutions have been obtained in the transmission geometry taking into account many factors, including the photoinduced response of the medium that may be both local and non-local and generates both gratings of the refractive index and of the absorption (or amplification).

In the *second approach*, the study of the nonlinear media takes into account its intrinsic dynamics, including the relaxation of the nonlinearity, e.g. [10, 12]. In particular, this approach has been used for detailed characterization of the stationary regime in the transmission four wave-mixing [11]. In general, it allows to provide explicit description for many characteristics responsible for various nonlinear optical properties of the medium. For instance, explanations can be provided for redistribution of charge carriers in photorefractive crystals and semiconductors; the changes of molecules' orientations in liquid crystals; heat-induced nonlinearity, under given conditions of moving gratings; etc.

The holographic dynamical phase grating is considered as a photoinduced spatial modulation of the refractive index appearing during the degenerate (i.e. on the same wave lengths) wave-mixing in a Kerr-type nonlinear medium which exhibit a nonlocal response. As a rule, nonlinear mechanism in the dynamical media is regarded in the relation to diffusion and drift of carriers (responsible for the induced nonlinearity); they occur under the action of time-changing spatially modulated optical field. Thus in one dimensional case, a dynamic grating is formed under the action of a sinusoidal light interference pattern. If the grating is varying in time it may be considered as a moving matter-wave of one wavelength described by the K -vector of the grating period.

Furthermore, it has been shown theoretically that in the presence of energy transfer, the amplitude of the grating becomes nonuniform in the medium along the z-direction of the light wave propagation [13, 14]. The dynamics of grating can be described by the damped *sine*-Gordon equation in the transmission geometry or by the damped *tanh*-Gordon equation in the reflection geometry. In the steady state, the grating amplitude admits the form of either a bright-soliton or a dark-soliton solution along the wave-propagation direction [15–17]. The first experimental observation of the localization of the dynamical grating was found in a bulk photo-refractive crystals $LiNbO_3$ during degenerate four-wave mixing [18].

In this Letter we regard the dynamical system containing the coupled-wave equations for the two-wave mixing in the reflection geometry, with pure non-local response and neglected absorption. We apply the second approach (see above) and demonstrate for the first time that the complete dynamical system (which includes both the coupled-wave equations and the dynamical equation for the medium) can be reduced to a single nonlinear evolution equation. This equation effectively is nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) with coefficients depending on parameters. As the solutions of the NLS are fairly well studied in the nonlinear physics, one can operate them for prediction of some effects in the nonlinear dynamical media in optical system. Especially it concerns the solutions for the transient processes such as regular self-oscillation, periodic doubling and others, as well as their dependence on the parameters of the system. Main theoretically plausible physical phenomena appearing in the frame of our novel evolution equation are briefly discussed at the end of the Letter.

Basic mathematical model. – In general, the interaction of waves in optical anisotropic medium is described by the coupled wave equations obtained from the Maxwell's equations in the following way. Total optical field is presented as the sum of all partial waves taking part in the interaction process, and the dielectric permittivity $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 + \Delta\varepsilon$ is regarded as a sum of the constant ε_0 and a changing part $\Delta\varepsilon$. In the case of two interacting waves with initial amplitudes $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_1$, $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_2$ in the Bragg conditions (when the momentum conversation law is performed as the phase matching condition $\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2 = \mathbf{K}$, where $\mathbf{k}_i, i = 1, 2$ is the wave-vector of the i-th wave) the coupled wave equations takes the following form (see e.g. [7, 12]):

$$\partial_{\bar{z}}\mathbf{E}_1 = -i\frac{k^2}{2nk_{1\bar{z}}}\varepsilon_1\mathbf{E}_2,\ \partial_{\bar{z}}\mathbf{E}_2^* = i\frac{k^2}{2nk_{2\bar{z}}}\varepsilon_1\mathbf{E}_2^*. \qquad (1)$$

Here $\mathbf{E}_i$ is a slow varying amplitude of the i-th wave, $k = k_1 = k_2 = 2\pi/\lambda$ is the module of the wave-vector, λ is the wavelength in a vacuum, $\bar{z}[m]$ is the space coordinate in the propagation direction of the waves, and $t[c]$ is a real time. The coupling coefficient ε_1 in the Eqs. (1) represents the first Fourier component of the changing part of the dielectric permittivity appearing in an optical medium due to action of the light field: $\Delta\varepsilon \approx \varepsilon_1 \exp\{iKz\} + c.c.$, where $K = |\mathbf{K}| = 2\pi/\Lambda$, Λ is the spatial period of the light interference pattern. In this way, the photoinduced dielectric permittivity has the form of the grating with the grating-vector $\mathbf{K}$.

The dynamical nonlinear system also includes the equation describing the changes of the dielectric permittivity $\Delta\varepsilon$. For the majority of optical nonlinear media this equation may be written by the following form, [12]:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Delta\varepsilon = \hat{F}(|\mathbf{E}|^2) - \frac{\Delta\varepsilon}{\tau} + D\nabla^2\Delta\varepsilon - (\upsilon\nabla(\Delta\varepsilon)), \qquad (2)$$

where $\hat{F}(|\mathbf{E}|^2)$, in general case, is an operator depended on the light intensity $|\mathbf{E}|^2$. For the simplest case of the cubic nonlinear response (Kerr-like nonlinear media) this operator reads $\hat{F}(|\mathbf{E}|^2) = \epsilon|\mathbf{E}|^2$. The other notations in the Eq. (2) are as follows: D is the diffusion constant, which describes the spatial distribution of the excitations responsible for the changing dielectric permittivity $\Delta\varepsilon$; τ is the time relaxation constant, and υ is the drift velocity of these excitations.

Further on we consider the nonlocal media allowing energy transfer between the interacting waves. In this case there exists a spatial shift between a local point of the light action and the nonlinear response of a medium. For example, in the case of electrooptical crystals with diffusion mechanism of nonlinearity, the functional $\hat{F}(|\mathbf{E}|^2)$ has the form $\hat{F}(|\mathbf{E}|^2) \simeq (\mathbf{C}\nabla|\mathbf{E}|^2)$, where $\mathbf{C}$ is the pole axis of the crystal. In this case $D = 0$ and τ is finite.



Fig. 1: Color online. **(a)** Formation of the sinusoidal intensity field (the light lattice) by two interacting plane waves I_1 and I_2 in the reflection geometry - the curve 1. Modulation of the refractive index (the phase grating) in a nonlocal nonlinear medium, when the refractive index grating is shifted relative to the light lattice on a quarter of the spatial period - the curve 2. **(b)** Change of the grating distribution, when the soliton is formed inside the medium. **(c)** The envelope of the grating amplitude in the form of a dark soliton.

The maximum energy transfer occurs when the spatial shift equals the quarter of the period of the light interference pattern. If we present ε_1 in terms of the amplitude and the initial phase as $\varepsilon_1 = \tilde{\varepsilon}_1 cos\psi$, for the case of pure nonlocal response the dielectric permittivity gets the form $Q = \tilde{\varepsilon}_1 cos(\psi - \pi/2) = -\tilde{\varepsilon}_1 sin(\psi)$ (here notation Q is introduced).

For specific physical medium, this system may be complemented by the material equations for nonlinear optical response.

Evolution equations for dynamical nonlinear two-wave mixing. – We consider the two-wave mixing in the reflection geometry. The case of pure nonlocal response means that there exists the shift between the interference pattern and the grating on the quarter of the space period (see Fig. 1). We also introduce dimensionless coordinate z, $z = \bar{z}k^2/2k_{\bar{z}}$, and rewrite main coupled-wave equations as follows:

$$\partial_z \mathbf{E}_1(z,t) = Q(z,t)\mathbf{E}_2(z,t), \tag{3}$$
$$\partial_z \mathbf{E}_2^*(z,t) = Q(z,t)\mathbf{E}_1^*(z,t). \tag{4}$$

These equations describe two slowly changing grating amplitudes of the light beams $\mathbf{E}_1(z,t) = E_1(z,t)\exp\{i\varphi_1\}$ and $\mathbf{E}_2(z,t) = E_2(z,t)\exp\{i\varphi_2\}$ which are complex-valued functions.

The coupling coefficient $Q(z,t)$ is a real-valued function of two scalar variables given by

$$\partial_t Q(z,t) = \gamma_N \frac{I_m(z,t)}{I_0(z,t)} - \frac{1}{\tau}Q(z,t). \tag{5}$$

Here γ_N is the the amplification coefficient of the nonlocal response of the medium correspondingly; it is real. The total intensity I_0 and the intensity of the interference pattern I_m are defined by the beams amplitudes as follows:

$$I_0 = I_1(z,t) + I_2(z,t) = |\mathbf{E}_1|^2 + |\mathbf{E}_2|^2, \tag{6}$$
$$I_m = (\mathbf{E}_1\mathbf{E}_2^* + \mathbf{E}_1^*\mathbf{E}_2) = 2E_1E_2\cos(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2). \tag{7}$$

Aiming to rewrite Eqs. (3)-(5) as one equation, we first introduce a new variable $J_m = J_m(z,t)$; it defines modulation depth of the intensity field and is given by the following ratio:

$$J_m = \frac{I_m}{I_0} = \frac{\mathbf{E}_1\mathbf{E}_2^* + \mathbf{E}_1^*\mathbf{E}_2}{\mathbf{E}_1\mathbf{E}_1^* + \mathbf{E}_2\mathbf{E}_2^*}. \tag{8}$$

Now let us take derivative over z of the Eq. (5) and rewrite it already in terms of the new variable J_m as

$$\partial_t\partial_z Q + \frac{1}{\tau}\partial_z Q - \gamma_N \partial_z J_m = 0. \tag{9}$$

Straightforward calculation making use of the Eqs. (3),(4) yields

$$\partial_z J_m = \partial_z \frac{I_m}{I_0} = \frac{I_0\partial_z I_m - I_m\partial_z I_0}{I_0^2}, \tag{10}$$
$$\partial_z I_m = \partial_z(\mathbf{E}_1\mathbf{E}_2^*) + \partial_z(\mathbf{E}_1^*\mathbf{E}_2), \tag{11}$$
$$\partial_z I_0 = 2QI_m,\ \partial_z I_m = 2QI_0. \tag{12}$$

Accordingly, for the new variable J_m we have

$$\partial_z J_m = 2(Q - QJ_m^2) \tag{13}$$

and after substituting the Eq. (13) into the Eq. (9) we deduce

$$\partial_{tz} Q + \frac{1}{\tau}\partial_z Q - 2\gamma_N Q + 2\gamma_N Q J_m^2 = 0 \tag{14}$$

which is convenient to rewrite as

$$\partial_{tz} Q + \frac{1}{\tau}\partial_z Q - 2\gamma_N Q + \frac{2}{\gamma_N}Q(\partial_t Q + \frac{1}{\tau}Q)(\partial_t Q + \frac{1}{\tau}Q) = 0. \tag{15}$$

The Eq. (15) is a nonlinear evolutionary PDE of the second order which is equivalent to the initial system of the Eqs. (3)-(5).

Our next step is to demonstrate that the Eq. (15) can be reduced to a parametric NLS equation.

Reduction to a parametric NLS. – For our further study it would be convenient to present the function Q in the following form: $Q = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{Q}^*)$ where an auxiliary complex-valued function $\mathbf{Q}$ is introduced and $\mathbf{Q}^*$ is its complex conjugate. Further we apply the procedure of the standard perturbation technique, e.g. [19], to the Eq. (15), we expand the auxiliary function $\mathbf{Q}$ into the series

$$\mathbf{Q}(z,t) = \delta\mathbf{F}_0, \tag{16}$$

but in curved coordinates, assuming that the coordinates t and z are also is expanded into series

$$t = T_0 + \delta T_1 + \delta^2 T_2 + ..., \\ z = Z_0 + \delta Z_1 + \delta^2 Z_2 + ... \quad (17)$$

with δ being a small parameter, and functions $\mathbf{F}_j$ depending on all coordinates,

$$\mathbf{F}_j = \mathbf{F}_j \left(T_0, T_1, T_2, ..., Z_0, Z_1, Z_2, ...\right). \quad (18)$$

Substituting the series above into the Eq. (15) and summing up terms with the same power of the small parameter δ we deduce the Eqs. (19),(20):

$$\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial T_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_0} + \delta\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial T_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial T_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_0}\right]\right\}\delta\mathbf{F}_0 \\ + \left\{\delta^2\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial T_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial T_2}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_0} + \frac{\partial}{\partial T_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_1}\right]\right\}\delta\mathbf{F}_0 \times \\ + \frac{1}{\tau}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_0} + \delta\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_1} + \delta^2\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_2} + \delta^3\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_3}\right\}\delta\mathbf{F}_0 - \\ \gamma_N\left[\delta\mathbf{F}_0\right] + \frac{2}{\gamma_N}\hat{R}(\mathbf{Q}) + ... + c.c. = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (19)$$

$$\delta\Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial T_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_0}\mathbf{F}_0 + \frac{1}{\tau}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_0}\mathbf{F}_0 - 2\gamma_N\mathbf{F}_0\Big] + \\ \delta^2\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial T_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial T_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_0}\right)\mathbf{F}_0 + \frac{1}{\tau}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_1}\mathbf{F}_0\right] + \\ \delta^3\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial T_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial T_2}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_0} + \frac{\partial}{\partial T_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_1}\right]\mathbf{F}_0 + \\ \delta^3\frac{1}{\tau}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_2}\mathbf{F}_0 + \delta^3\frac{2}{\gamma_N}\hat{R}(\mathbf{F}_0) + ... + c.c. = 0 \quad (20)$$

For the brevity of presentation a new notation $\hat{R}(\mathbf{F}_0)$ has been introduced for the last term

$$\mathbf{Q}\left(\partial_t\mathbf{Q} + \frac{1}{\tau}\mathbf{Q}\right)\left(\partial_t\mathbf{Q} + \frac{1}{\tau}\mathbf{Q}\right) \quad (21)$$

of the Eq. (15).

First order terms, $\delta\mathbf{F_0}$. The first order terms generate the equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial T_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_0}\mathbf{F}_0 + \frac{1}{\tau}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_0}\mathbf{F}_0 - 2\gamma_N\mathbf{F}_0 + c.c. = 0 \quad (22)$$

which can be rewritten in the operator form as

$$\hat{L}\mathbf{F}_0 = 0,\ \hat{L} = \frac{\partial}{\partial T_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_0} + \frac{1}{\tau}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_0} - 2\gamma_N \quad (23)$$

where $\hat{L}$ is the operator. Let us look for the solution of the Eq. (23) in the form of a two-dimensional plane wave in the coordinates T_0 and Z_0, but with the amplitude depending on all *other* coordinates, i.e.

$$\mathbf{F}_0 = \mathbf{A}\left(T_1, T_2, ..., Z_1, Z_2, ...\right)\exp i\left[\omega T_0 - qZ_0\right] \quad (24)$$

(physical meaning of q is grating period). Substituting (24) into the Eq. (23) and taking into account that

$$\frac{\partial\mathbf{F}_0}{\partial Z_0} = -iq\mathbf{F}_0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial T_0}\frac{\partial\mathbf{F}_0}{\partial Z_0} = \omega q\mathbf{F}_0, \quad (25)$$

it is easy to deduce the form of the dispersion function

$$\omega = i\frac{1}{\tau} + 2\gamma_N\frac{1}{q} \quad (26)$$

and the general solution $\mathbf{F}_0$ to the Eq. (23):

$$\mathbf{F}_0 = \mathbf{A}(T_1, T_2, ..., Z_1, Z_2, ...) \\ \times\exp i\left[\frac{2\gamma_N}{q}T_0 - qZ_0 + i\frac{1}{\tau}T_0\right] = \mathbf{A}\exp i\mathbf{\Phi}_0 \quad (27)$$

with the complex phase

$$\mathbf{\Phi}_0 = 2\gamma_N\frac{1}{q}T_0 - qZ_0 + i\frac{1}{\tau}T_0 \quad (28)$$

Second order terms, $\delta^2\mathbf{F_0}$. These terms generate the following equation on $\mathbf{F}_0$:

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial T_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial T_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_0} + \frac{1}{\tau}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_1}\right)\mathbf{F}_0 + c.c. = 0, \quad (29)$$

or in the operator form

$$\hat{M}\mathbf{F}_0 = 0 \quad (30)$$

where

$$\hat{M} = \frac{\partial}{\partial T_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial T_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_0} + \frac{1}{\tau}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_1}. \quad (31)$$

Substituting the expression (27) for $\mathbf{F}_0$ into the Eq. (30) and performing some straightforward calculations of all necessary derivatives one can easily obtain the evolution equation for the amplitude $\mathbf{A}$:

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial T_1} - \frac{2\gamma_N}{q^2}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_1}\right)\mathbf{A} = 0. \quad (32)$$

It follows that the variables T_1 and Z_1 are not independent and can be replaced by one variable making use of the Galilean transformation

$$\zeta = T_1 - \upsilon_g Z_1,\ \ \upsilon_g = \frac{2\gamma_N}{q^2} \quad (33)$$

where the physical meaning of υ_g is the group velocity.

Third order terms, $\delta^3\mathbf{F_0}$. Following the same lines as above, we deduce the equation in the operator form

$$\hat{N}\mathbf{F}_0 + \frac{2}{\gamma_N}\hat{R}\left(\mathbf{F}_0\right) = 0 \quad (34)$$

where the operator $\hat{N}$ reads

$$\hat{N} = \frac{\partial}{\partial T_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial T_2}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_0} + \frac{1}{\tau}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial T_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_1}. \quad (35)$$

Next three steps are: (a) to introduce new variable $\eta = T_2 - v_g Z_2$, and (b) to compute corresponding derivatives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial T_2}\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial T_2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_2}\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial Z_2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial T_2} - v_g \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_2} \tag{36}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial T_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial T_1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial Z_1}\right) = -v_g \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \zeta^2} \tag{37}$$

and (c) to substitute the results into the Eq. (34):

$$i\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial \eta} + \frac{v_g}{q}\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}^2}{\partial \zeta^2} - \frac{2}{q \cdot \gamma_N}\exp\{-i\mathbf{\Phi}_0\}\hat{R} = 0. \tag{38}$$

Comparing $\hat{R}$ with the Eq. (15) we conclude that

$$\hat{R}\left(\mathbf{F}_0\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left[\mathbf{F}_0 + \mathbf{F}_0^*\right] \times \left[\partial_t \mathbf{F}_0 + \frac{1}{\tau}\mathbf{F}_0 + \partial_t \mathbf{F}_0^* + \frac{1}{\tau}\mathbf{F}_0^*\right]^2. \tag{39}$$

Thus, we have obtained explicit dependence of $\hat{R}$ on $\mathbf{F}_0 = \mathbf{A}\exp i\mathbf{\Phi}_0$ given by the Eqs. (27),(28). After more calculations (omitted here for the brevity of presentation) we deduce explicit expressions for $\hat{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} -\hat{R}/\frac{8q^2}{\gamma_N^2} = \mathbf{A}^3\exp\{3i\mathbf{\Phi}_0\} - \\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^*\exp\{i\mathbf{\Phi}_0\}\exp\{i(\mathbf{\Phi}_0 - \mathbf{\Phi}_0^*)\} - \\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{A}^*\exp\{-i\mathbf{\Phi}_0^*\}\exp\{i(\mathbf{\Phi}_0 - \mathbf{\Phi}_0^*)\} + \\ \mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{A}^*\exp\{-3i\mathbf{\Phi}_0^*\} \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

and for the phase difference $\mathbf{\Phi}_0 - \mathbf{\Phi}_0^* = 2i\frac{1}{\tau}T_0$. Their substitution into the main equation yields

$$i\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial \eta} + \frac{2\gamma_N}{q^3}\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial \zeta^2} - \frac{\gamma_N}{q^3}\exp\left\{-2T_0/\tau\right\}|\mathbf{A}|^2\mathbf{A} = 0, \tag{41}$$

or in a more compact form

$$i\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial \eta} + 2\alpha\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial \zeta^2} - \alpha\exp\left\{-2T_0/\tau\right\}|\mathbf{A}|^2\mathbf{A} = 0, \tag{42}$$

where $\alpha = q^3/\gamma_N$.

This equation describes the evolution of the envelope soliton which is identified by the function $\mathbf{A}$. The function $\mathbf{A}$ describes excitation in a nonlinear medium, responsible for the appearance of the nonlinearity. Eventually, the physical realization of this function in real media will be reduced to the envelope of amplitude for photo-induced dynamical grating ε_1. The same soliton-like behavior will be observed for the function J_m, which corresponds to the envelope for the intensity values in the interference pattern inside the medium. In our formulation, the output intensities of two interacting waves can easily be found as the functions of the $\mathbf{A}$.

Discussion. – As a result of the tedious but straightforward calculations we deduced the evolution equation Eq. (42) having the form of nonlinear Schrödinger equation with coefficients depending on parameters, pNLS. This allows us to predict various theoretically possible scenarios of energy transfer in a physical system governed by this evolution equation.

Not going into all details here we mention only a couple of possible choices of parameters q, T_0, τ, γ_N yielding completely different energetic behavior of the nonlinear system. Keeping α constant, let us just have a look at the Eq. (42) for different relations between τ and T_0.

(1) Case $\tau \ll T_0$. This condition yields than $\alpha\exp\left\{-2T_0/\tau\right\} \approx 0$ turning the Eq. (42) into a linear equation which does not describe nonlinear physical phenomena we are interested in..

(2) Case $\tau \gg T_0$. Accordingly, we may assume $T_0/\tau \approx 0 \Rightarrow \exp\left\{-2T_0/\tau\right\} \approx 1$ and the Eq. (42) turns into the classical NLS.

In this case the Eq. (42) is integrable by the inverse scattering transform and allows many exact solutions, for instance

$$\mathbf{A}(\zeta,\eta) = \mathbf{C_1}\exp\{\mathbf{i}[\mathbf{C_2}\zeta + (\alpha\mathbf{C_1^2} - \mathbf{C_2^2})\eta + \mathbf{C_3}]\} \quad \text{and} \tag{43}$$

$$\mathbf{A}(\zeta,\eta) = \frac{\mathbf{C_1}}{\sqrt{\eta}}\exp\{\mathbf{i}[\frac{(\zeta + \mathbf{C_2})^2}{4\eta} + \alpha\mathbf{C_1^2}\ln\eta + \mathbf{C_3}]\}, \tag{44}$$

with C_i, $i = 1,2,3$ being arbitrary real constants, [20]. Optical solitons in nonlinear media with arbitrary dispersion are discussed in [33] while new general solutions can be found in [21].

For identifying another prominent set of solutions, we have to establish whether or not the pNLS is focusing for some combination of parameters, i.e. possesses modulation instability. This fact is usually established by the stability analysis, see e.g. [25], while the answer can be given as following. In optical fibers, the NLS is focusing if the coefficients in front of dispersive and nonlinear terms have different signs; otherwise it is defocusing, [26].

Let us compare the signs of the coefficients in front of dispersive and nonlinear terms in the Eq. (42). The function exponent may only admit nonnegative values; accordingly, regardless of the sign of the parameter α, these coefficients have opposite signs, i.e. the Eq. (42) is focussing and possesses modulation instability, see e.g. [25].

This in turn allows us to conclude that formally the set of exact solutions of the Eq. (42) includes also Akhmediev breathers, for instance

$$\mathbf{A_j}(\zeta,\eta) = \left[(-\mathbf{1})^{\mathbf{j}} + \frac{\mathbf{G_j} + \mathbf{iH_j}}{\mathbf{D_j}}\right]\exp\{\mathbf{2i}\zeta\}. \tag{45}$$

The coefficients G_j, H_j, D_j are complex polynomial and their explicit form can be found in [22].

Another interesting fact about the pNLS is following: it does not have solutions in the form of dark solitons, as they only appear in the defocusing case, [28].

There exists one more form of energy transport triggered by modulation instability in the pNLS: formation of dynamical cascades first introduced in [23]. Dynamical cascade is a sequence of discrete modes generated by modulation instability under certain conditions allowing to compute the shape of energy spectrum in a nonlinear medium and also to establish some other properties of the cascade (direction, termination, appearing of recurrent FPU-like patterns, etc.), [24].

(3) Case $0 < \tau/T_0 < \infty$. This means that the ratio is finite and that the coefficient $\alpha \exp\{-2T_0/\tau\}$ located in front of the nonlinear term of the pNLS is decaying exponentially thus allowing to regard the evolution equation (42) as a parametrical-damped NLS (pdNLS), for this choice of parameters.

Unlike the previous, well-studied cases, this case seems to be the most interesting and the less studied one; there is no consensus in the scientific literature concerning this case. Some researchers argue that any arbitrarily small dissipation suppresses modulation instability [30]. Other researchers demonstrate that dissipation indeed affects the modulation instability, e.g. alters the growth rates of sideband perturbations and the boundaries of the linearized stability domains in the modulation wavevector space. Moreover, the instability occurs only if the amplitude of the background wave exceeds a certain threshold as it is demonstrated in [31], where the study has been conducted for the NLS applied for water waves. In the context of nonlinear optics these effects would be convenient to discussed in terms of dissipative solitons, [32], which are not energy conserving, in contrast to classical solitons mentioned above. This conception provides "an excellent framework for understanding complex pulse dynamics and stimulates innovative cavity designs", [34].

Last but not the least. In contrast to the modulation instability, resonant interactions are possible for arbitrary small non-zero initially excited $\mathbf{A}$, though time scales and/or characteristic times of interaction would differ from those of modulation instability (see [29] for more details). The most important property of the resonant interactions is that they may form independent clusters of resonant triplets of quartets such that waves in a cluster exchange energy only among themselves; no energy is leaving a cluster, at the corresponding time scale. Detailed description of fast computing procedures for the construction of such clusters in various physical systems are described in [35–37].

Structure of resonance clusters appearing in the NLS (exemplified with water waves) is discussed e.g. in [38,39] while examples of their dynamical behavior in Hamiltonian systems has been studied in [40,41]. Energy exchange within a resonant cluster may be periodic or chaotic, depending on many parameters of its dynamical system and also on the initial energy distribution, [42]. Statistical description of a big number of connected resonance clusters in nonlinear optics (so-called optical wave turbulence) is given in [43].

The identification and interplay of all possible phenomena mentioned above for physically relevant set of parameters and time scales is presently under the study.

Conclusions. – (1) We have deduced a single evolution equation called parametric NLS (pNLS) describing time evolution of bulk Kerr-like medium possessing a nonlocal nonlinear response under the action of the degenerate two-wave mixing at the reflection geometry. The same equation can be deduced for the degenerate four-wave mixing, two-wave system has been taken for the sake of simplicity of presentation. The main novelty in our approach is that we regard the nonlinear dynamical medium, i.e. the relaxation of the photoinduced nonlinearity is not constant, in contrast to the common approach widely developed in nonlinear optics.

(2) We briefly presented a few theoretically possible scenarios of energy transport in the frame of the pNLS (exact solutions, dynamical cascades, dissipative solitons, resonance clusters, optical wave turbulence). Whether or not all these phenomena can be observed in practice will depend on the combination of laser and medium parameters available in an experiment.

(3) The developed theoretical findings can be experimentally realized in any photorefractive medium, either in traditional photorefractive crystals, liquid crystals, media with thermal or saturable absorption nonlinearity, or in modern photonic crystals, semiconductor heterostructures and nanocomposites with quantum dots that possess ultrafast nonlinear response.

It follows from our theoretical model that there exist an intricate link between the phase grating of a matter-wave and the light lattice formed by actively interacting waves. Emergence of the nonlocal response in a medium is identified by the appearance of energy transfer between interacting waves; this in turn implies that the grid-generated soliton is formed inside the medium. Parameters of this soliton depend explicitly on the characteristics both of the photorefractive medium and of the input waves (their mutual intensities, phases and polarization states), and can be used for forecasting of various effects appearing due to the two-wave mixing, see e.g. [17]. An experimental realization of individual scenarios of controllable energy transfer in nonlinear liquid crystal cells is a subject for our further study.

Acknowledgment E.T. acknowledges the support of the Austrian Science Foundation (FWF) under project P24671. The authors are very grateful to Shalva Aminashvili for fruitful discussions and to the both Reviewers for their valuable comments which allowed significantly improve the presentation of our results.

REFERENCES

[1] L. Hesselink, J. Feinberg, and G. Roosen, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. **41**, 224001–224007 (2008).

[2] Izabela Naydenova (Ed.), Holograms – Recoding Materials and Applications, ISBN 978-953-307-981-3 (InTech, 2011).

[3] M. Nakazawa, K. Kikuchi, and T. Miyazaki (Eds.), Optical and Fiber Communications reports **6** (Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2010).

[4] E.A. Barbosa, D.M. Mariano da Silva, and M.S. Ferreira, pp. 203–225 in : E. Mihaylova (Ed.), Holography – Basic Principles and Contemporary Applications, ISBN 978-953-51-1117-7 (InTech, 2013).

[5] Sulaiman Wadi Harun, and Hamzah Arof (Eds.), Current Developments in Optical Fiber Technologe, ISBN 978-953-51-1148-1 (InTech, 2013).

[6] J.F. Galisteo-Lopez, and C. Lopez, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 035108 (2004).

[7] P. Gunter, and J.P. Huignard, Photorefractive Materials and their Applications, 1, 2, and 3 (New York: Springer, 2006) and references therein.

[8] P. Yeh, Two-wave mixing in nonlinear media, IEEE J. Quantum Electron. **25** (1989) 484–519.

[9] M. Chi, J.-P. Huignard, and P.M. Petersen, A general theory of two-wave mixing in nonlinear media, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **26** (8), 1578–1584 (2009).

[10] A. Bedowski, W. Krolikowski and A. Kujawski, Temporal instabilities in single-grating photorefractive four-wave mixing, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **6** (8) 1544–1547 (1989).

[11] S.A. Bugaichuk, A.I. Khizhnyak, Steady state and dynamic grating in photorefractive four-wave mixing, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **15** (7), 2107–2113 (1998).

[12] S. Odoulov, M. Soskin, A. Khyzhnyak, Oscillators with Degenerate Four-Wave Mixing (Harwood Academic Publishers, Chur, London, 1991).

[13] D.I. Stasel'ko, and V.G. Sidorovich, J. Tech. Phys. **44**(3), 580–587 (1974), in Russian.

[14] J.H. Hong, and R. Saxema, Opt. Lett. **16**, 180–182, (1991).

[15] M. Jeganathan, M.C. Bashaw, and L. Hesselink, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **12**, 1370–1383 (1995).

[16] S. Bugaychuk, and R. Conte, Phys. Rev. E **80**, 066603-1–066603-7 (2009).

[17] S. Bugaychu,k and R. Conte, Phys. Rev. E **86**, 026603-1–026603-8, (2012).

[18] S. Bugaychuk, L. Kóvacs, G. Mandula, K. Polgár, and R.A. Rupp, Phys. Rev. E **67**, 046603-1–046603-8 (2003).

[19] A. H. Nayfeh. *Introduction to Perturbation Techniques* (Wiley-Interscience, NY, 1981).

[20] A.D. Polyanin, and V.E. Zaisev, Handbook of nonlinear partial differential equations, (Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, 2004).

[21] A. Ankiewicz, D. J. Kedziora, A. Chowdury, U. Bandelow, and N. Akhmediev, Phys. Rev. E **93** (1), 012206-1–012206-10 (2016).

[22] N. Akhmediev, V.M. Eleonskii, and N.E. Kulagin, Sov. Phys. JETP. **62**(5), 894–899 (1985).

[23] E. Kartashova, EPL **97**(3), 30004-1–30004-6 (2012).

[24] E. Kartashova, Phys. Rev. E **86**, 041129-1–041129-9 (2012).

[25] V.E. Zakharov, and L.A. Ostrovsky. Physica D **238**, 540–548 (2009).

[26] G. P. Agrawal. *Nonlinear fiber optics* (Academic Press, 2013)

[27] A. Chabchoub, B. Kibler, C. Finot, G. Millot, M. Onorato, J. M. Dudley, A. V. Babanin. Annals of Physics **361**, 490–500 (2015)

[28] Y.S. Kivshar, B.Luther-Davies Phys. Rep. **298**, 81–197 (1998)

[29] E. Kartashova, EPL **102**(4), 44005-1–44005-6 (2013).

[30] H. Segur, D. Henderson, J. Carter, J. Hammack, G.-M. Li, D. Pheiff, and K. Socha, Fluid Mech. **539**, 229-271 (2005).

[31] V.V. Voronovich, V.I. Shrira, and G. Thomas, Fluid Mech. **604**, 263–296 (2008).

[32] N. Akhmediev, and A. Ankiewicz, Dissipative Solitons, LNP **661**(Springer, Berlin, 2005)

[33] Sh. Amiranashvili, U. Bandelow, and N. Akhmediev, Opt. Quantum Electron. **46**, 1233–1238, (2014)

[34] P. Grelu, and N. Akhmediev, Nature Photonics **6**, 84-92 (2012)

[35] E. Kartashova and A. Kartashov. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. C,* **17** (2006), 1579–96.

[36] E. Kartashova and A. Kartashov. *Comm. Comp. Phys.*, **2** (2007), 783–94.

[37] E. Kartashova, and G. Mayrhofer. *Physica A: Stat. Mech. Appl.*, **385** (2007), 527–42.

[38] E. Kartashova, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 214502-1–214502-4 (2007).

[39] E. Kartashova, S. Nazarenko, and O. Rudenko, Phys. Rev. E, **98**, 0163041-1–0163041-9 (2008).

[40] M. D. Bustamante, and E. Kartashova, EPL, **85**, 14004-1–14004-5 (2009).

[41] M. D. Bustamante, and E. Kartashova, EPL, **85**, 34002-1–34002-6 (2009).

[42] E. Kartashova, Nonlinear Resonance Analysis: Theory, Computation, Applications, (Cambridge University Press, 2010)

[43] A. Picozzi, J. Garnier, T. Hansson, P. Suret, S. Randoux, G. Millot, D. N. Christodoulides, Phys. Rep.-Rev. Sec. Phys. Lett. **542**, 1-132 (2014).